

Jerry Sullivan
Outreach Specialist, SCO

DRAFT Comments -- November 1, 2006

Starting from an examination of the **WLIP 2003 Annual Survey**,

- What is adequately addressed in Ramona ?
- What is not addressed at all in Ramona ?
- What in Ramona might be augmented by additional questions posed in a Wisconsin Profile section at the end ?

Section 1: Organizational Information

Ramona provides more complete contact information, but only for a single person as point of contact. Q 5 is lost from Ramona: To whom does the LIO report ? Q 8-11 are lost from Ramona: Does the LIO have other duties ? How many FTE in the LIO ? Who are all the other participants in the survey ? Comments (scarcely used).

Section 2: GIS Software

Ramona is more complete and current, and includes CAD software. WLIP Q 3, a matrix of software by county department, is lost. Q 4 on metadata software (ArcCatalog, SMMS, other) is essentially obsolete.

Section 3: Geodetic Control Networks

Section 4: Coordinate Data

Section 5: Public Land Survey System

Major components of the WLIP Annual Survey are to be examined by a Review Team.

Section 6: Digital Base Maps

The discussion of content features in a photogrammetrically compiled basemap are not treated as such in Ramona; all of the components are broken out as separate themes. The ubiquitous adoption of digital orthophotos is seen to have largely obviated these basemap discussions.

Section 7: Digital Elevation Data

Section 8: Image Base Maps

These sections are well handled in Ramona, and did not require a review team.

Section 9: Parcels

Section 10: Zoning

Section 15: Land Use Mapping

Major components of the WLIP Annual Survey are to be examined by a Review Team.

Section 11: Soils

Handled in Ramona; only one key data producer, NRCS, as opposed to those who have acquired and use this theme.

Section 12: Wetlands

Handled in Ramona, but somewhat fragmented: Under Geo-Scientific Information is a theme “Peat”; under Inland Waters are three themes: “Submerged Grasses”, “Swamps”, and “Wetlands & Deepwater Habitats”.

Section 13: Administrative Boundaries

Virtually all of these and more are handled in various locations in Ramona. However, Census Geographies are again assumed to have a single producer, Census, and are not listed among the themes.

Section 14: Street Network System

Handled adequately in Ramona, though currency of road network is lost. Addressing section of WLIP Survey had become garbled (questions were dropped); better treated in metadata.

Section 16: Natural Resources

All components of WLIP question matrix are handled somewhere in Ramona, although spread across Geo-Scientific, Biota, Inland Waters, and other categories.

Section 17: Infrastructure and Facilities Management

All components of WLIP question matrix are handled somewhere in Ramona. If anything, Ramona goes into far more disaggregation of classes such as “Government Facilities.” (At a future date, comparison with emerging DHS definitions of “Critical Infrastructure” will be desired.)

Section 18: Completion Time for Foundational Elements

Concept and very definition of F.E. does not appear in Ramona.

Section 19: Data Integration**Section 20: Institutional Arrangements****Section 22: Public Access****Section 23: Modernization Stages**

Important aspects of these sections will be reviewed by either the Institutional Policy Review Team, or the Integration / Applications Review Team.

Section 21: Communications, Education, Training**Section 24: WLIP & Survey Questions****Section 25: Retained Fees**

Not components of Ramona. If WLIP needs aspects of these or related questions posed, to all respondents or just LIOs, the Administrative Review Team should so note.